1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	CHRISTOPHER M. WILSON,	Case No. CV 12-05809 JGB (JCG)
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED
13	J. NEWTON, et al.,	STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14	Defendants.	
15	Defendants.	
16		,
17		
18	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the First Amended	
19	Complaint, the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, and the remaining	
20	record, and has made a <i>de novo</i> determination.	
21	Nothing in the Objections refutes the Magistrate Judge's finding that Plaintiff	
22	failed, on multiple occasions, to file a Second Amended Complaint as directed by the	
23	Court. In fact, Plaintiff never intends to remedy this deficiency, as he bluntly declares	
24	that he "will not be filing a Second Amended Complaint." (Obj. at 4.) The Court also	
25	finds Plaintiff's argument that he never received the Court's Order to Show Cause	
26	("OSC") unpersuasive. (Obj. at 3.) Plaintiff requested an extension of time to respond	
27	to the OSC, which the Court immediately granted. (Dkt. Nos. 39-40.)	
28	///	

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED THAT: The Report and Recommendation is approved and accepted. 1. Judgment be entered dismissing this action without prejudice. 2. 3. The Clerk serve copies of this Order and the Judgment on the parties. Dated: June 18, 2013 HON. JESUS G. BERNAL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE